arXiv:1612.03372v1 [math.CO] 11 Dec 2016

On Jacobian group and complexity of the generalized Petersen graph $GP(n,k)$ through Chebyshev polynomials

Y. S. Kwon,[1] **A. D. Mednykh,**[2] **I. A. Mednykh,**[3]

Abstract

In the present paper we find a simple algorithm for counting Jacobian group of the generalized Petersen graph $GP(n,k)$. Also,we obtain a closed formula for the number of spanning trees of this graph in terms of Chebyshev polynomials.

Key Words: spanning tree, Jacobian group, Petersen graph, Chebyshev polynomial
AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C30, 39A10

1 Introduction

The notion of the Jacobian group of a graph, which is also known as the Picard group, the critical group, and the dollar or sandpile group, was independently introduced by many authors ([1], [2], [3], [4]). This notion arises as a discrete version of the Jacobian in the classical theory of Riemann surfaces. It also admits a natural interpretation in various areas of physics, coding theory, and financial mathematics. The Jacobian group is an important algebraic invariant of a finite graph. In particular, its order coincides with the number of spanning trees of the graph, which is known for some simplest graphs, such as the wheel, fan, prism, ladder, and Möbius ladder [5], grids [14], lattices [16], Sierpinski gaskets [6, 7], 3-prism and 3-anti-prism [17]. At the same time, the structure of the Jacobian is known only in particular cases [1], [3], [10], [19], [20], [21] and [22]. We mention that the number of spanning trees for circulant graphs is expressed is terms of the Chebyshev polynomials; it was found in [11], [12], and [13]. We show that similar results are also true for the generalized Petersen graph $GP(n,k)$.

The generalized Petersen graph $GP(n,k)$ has vertex set and edge set given by

$$\begin{aligned} V(P(n,k)) &= \{u_i, v_i \mid i = 1,2,\dots,n\} \\ E(P(n,k)) &= \{u_iu_{i+1},\ u_iv_i,\ v_iv_{i+k} \mid i = 1,2,\dots,n\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the subscripts are expressed as integers modulo n. The classical Petersen graph is $P(5,2)$. The number of spanning trees of the Petersen graph is calculated in [9] and the spectrum of generalized Petersen graphs is obtained in [8]. Even though the number of spanning trees of a regular graph can be computed by its eigenvalues, it is not easy to obtain a closed formula for the number of spanning trees for $GP(n,k)$ by their result. In this paper we find a closed formula for the number of spanning trees for $GP(n,k)$ through Chebyshev polynomials. Also, we suggest an effective algorithm for calculating Jacobian of $GP(n,k)$.

2 Basic definitions and preliminary facts

Consider a connected finite graph G, allowed to have multiple edges but without loops. We endow each edge of G with the two possible directions. Since G has no loops, this operation

[1] *Department of Mathematics, Yeungnam University, Korea*
[2] *Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk State University, Siberian Federal University*
[3] *Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk State University, Siberian Federal University*

is well defined. Let $O = O(G)$ be the set of directed edges of G. Given $e \in O(G)$, we denote its initial and terminal vertices by $s(e)$ and $t(e)$, respectively. Recall that a closed directed path in G is a sequence of directed edges $e_i \in O(G)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ such that $t(e_i) = s(e_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $t(e_n) = s(e_1)$.

Following [2] and [4], *the Jacobian group*, or simply *Jacobian* $Jac(G)$ of a graph G is defined as the (maximal) abelian group generated by flows $\omega(e), e \in O(G)$, obeying the following two Kirchhoff laws:

K_1 : the flow through each vertex of G vanishes, that is $\sum\limits_{e \in O, t(e)=x} \omega(e) = 0$ for all $x \in V(G)$;

K_2 : the flow along each closed directed path W in G vanishes, that is $\sum\limits_{e \in W} \omega(e) = 0$.

Equivalent definitions of the group $Jac(G)$ can be found in papers [1], [2], [3], [4], [10], [15], [18].

We denote the vertex and edge set of G by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. Given $u, v \in V(G)$, we set a_{uv} to be equal to the number of edges between vertices u and v. The matrix $A = A(G) = \{a_{uv}\}_{u,v \in V(G)}$, called *the adjacency matrix* of the graph G. The degree $d(v)$ of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is defined by $d(v) = \sum_u a_{uv}$. Let $D = D(G)$ be the diagonal matrix indexed by the elements of $V(G)$ with $d_{vv} = d(v)$. Matrix $L = L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$ is called *the Laplacian matrix*, or simply *Laplacian*, of the graph G.

Recall [10] the following useful relation between the structure of the Laplacian matrix and the Jacobian of a graph G. Consider the Laplacian $L(G)$ as a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^{|V|} \to \mathbb{Z}^{|V|}$, where $|V| = |V(G)|$ is the number of vertices in G. The cokernel $\operatorname{coker}(L(G)) = \mathbb{Z}^{|V|}/\operatorname{im}(L(G))$ — is an abelian group. Let

$$\operatorname{coker}(L(G)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{d_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{d_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{d_{|V|}}$$

be its Smith normal form satisfying the conditions $d_i \big| d_{i+1}$, $(1 \le i \le |V|)$. If the graph is connected, then the groups $\mathbb{Z}_{d_1}, \mathbb{Z}_{d_2}, \ldots, \mathbb{Z}_{d_{|V|-1}}$ — are finite, and $\mathbb{Z}_{d_{|V|}} = \mathbb{Z}$. In this case,

$$Jac(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{t_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{t_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{d_{|V|-1}}$$

is the Jacobian of the graph G. In other words, $Jac(G)$ is isomorphic to the torsion subgroup of the cokernel $\operatorname{coker}(L(G))$.

Let M be an integer $n \times n$ matrix, then we can interpret M as a homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}^n$ to $\mathbb{Z}^n$. In this interpretation M has a kernel $\ker M$, an image $\operatorname{im} M$, and a cokernel $\operatorname{coker} M = \mathbb{Z}^n/\operatorname{im} M$. We emphasize that $\operatorname{coker} M$ of the matrix M coincides with its Smith normal form.

In what follows, by I_n we denote the identity matrix of order n.

We call an $n \times n$ matrix *circulant,* and denote it by $circ(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ if it is of the form

$$circ(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & \ldots & a_{n-1} \\ a_{n-1} & a_0 & a_1 & \ldots & a_{n-2} \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & \ldots & a_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall [23] that the eigenvalues of matrix $C = circ(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1})$ are given by the following simple formulas $\lambda_j = p(\varepsilon_n^j)$, where $p(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \ldots + a_{n-1} x^{n-1}$ and ε_n is the order n primitive root of the unity. Moreover, the circulant matrix $C = p(T)$, where $T = circ(0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is the matrix representation of the shift operator $T : (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \to (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_0)$. Also, we note that all circulant $n \times n$ matrices share the same set of eigenvectors.

By ([8], lemma 2.1) the $2n \times 2n$ adjacency matrix of the generalized Petersen graph $GP(n,k)$ has the following block form

$$A(GP(n,k)) = \begin{pmatrix} C_n^k & I_n \\ I_n & C_n^1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where C_n^k is the $n \times n$ circulant matrix of the form $C_n^k = circ(\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{k \text{ times}},1,0,\ldots,0,1,\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{k-1 \text{ times}})$.

Denote by $L = L(GP(n,k))$ the Laplacian of $GP(n,k)$. Since the graph $GP(n,k)$ is three-valent, we have

$$L = 3I_{2n} - A(GP(n,k)) = \begin{pmatrix} 3I_n - C_n^k & -I_n \\ -I_n & 3I_n - C_n^1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

3 Cokernels of linear operators given by integer matricies

Let $P(z)$ be a bimonic integer Laurent polynomial. That is $P(z) = z^p + a_1 z^{p+1} + \ldots + a_{s-1} z^{p+s-1} + z^{p+s}$ for some integers $p, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{s-1}$ and some positive integer s. Introduce the following companion matrix $\mathcal{A}$ for the polynomial $P(z)$: $\mathcal{A} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & I_{s-1} \\ \hline -1, -a_1, \ldots, -a_{s-1} & \end{array}\right)$, where I_{s-1} is the identity $(s-1) \times (s-1)$ matrix. We will use the following properties of $\mathcal{A}$. Note that $\det \mathcal{A} = (-1)^{s-1}$. Hence $\mathcal{A}$ is invertible and inverse matrix $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ is also integer matrix. The characteristic polynomial of $\mathcal{A}$ coincides with $z^{-p}P(z)$.

Let R be a nonzero commutative ring (integral domain). In most cases, we deal with the case $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Denote by $R^{\mathbb{Z}}$ the set of bi-infinite sequences $(x_j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} = (\ldots, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ where $x_j \in R$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. This set is naturally endowed by the structure of $\mathbb{Z}-$module. Define the shift operator $T : R^{\mathbb{Z}} \to R^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by the formula $T(x_j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} = (x_{j+1})_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$. For an arbitrary integer ℓ we have $T^\ell(x_j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} = (x_{j+\ell})_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Simplifying notation we will write $T^\ell x_j = x_{j+\ell}$. We set $\mathbb{1}$ to be the identity operator in $R^{\mathbb{Z}}$. For the sake of simplicity for any integer n, we will write n instead of $n\mathbb{1}$. We will use the following notation for the infinitely generated abelian group. Let $A_\xi, \xi \in \Xi$, be a family of $\mathbb{Z}-$linear operators in the space $R^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then by $\langle x | A_\xi x = 0, \xi \in \Xi\rangle$, where $x = (x_j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ we denote the abelian group generated by $x_j, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying the set of relations $A_\xi x = 0, \xi \in \Xi$.

We will use the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $T : R^{\mathbb{Z}} \to R^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the shift operator and $R = \mathbb{Z}$. Consider two operators A and B given by the formulas $A = P(T), B = Q(T)$, where $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ are Laurent polynomials with integer coefficients. Then*

$$\langle x | Ax = 0, Bx = 0\rangle \cong coker\, A / im(B|_{coker\, A}) \cong coker_{coker\, A}\, B.$$

Proof. Consider $R^{\mathbb{Z}}$ as the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}^\infty$ of all bi-infnite integer sequences provided with the natural addition. Then A and B can be considered as endomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}^\infty$. Their images $\operatorname{im} A$ and $\operatorname{im} B$ are subgroups in $\mathbb{Z}^\infty$. Denote by $\langle \operatorname{im} A, \operatorname{im} B\rangle$ the subgroup generated by elements of $\operatorname{im} A$ and $\operatorname{im} B$. Since $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ are Laurent polynomials the operators $A = P(T)$ and $B = Q(T)$ do commute. Hence, subgroup $\operatorname{im} A$ is invariant under endomorphism B. Indeed, let $y \in \operatorname{im} A$ then $By = B(Ax) = A(Bx) \in \operatorname{im} A$. The later means that $B : \mathbb{Z}^\infty \to \mathbb{Z}^\infty$ induces an endomorphism of the group $\operatorname{coker} A = \mathbb{Z}^\infty / \operatorname{im} A$. We denote this endomorphism by $B|_{\operatorname{coker} A}$. We

note that the abelian group $\langle x|Ax=0, Bx=0\rangle$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^\infty/\langle \mathrm{im}\, A, \mathrm{im}\, B\rangle$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Z}^\infty/\langle \mathrm{im}\, A, \mathrm{im}\, B\rangle &\cong (\mathbb{Z}^\infty/\mathrm{im}\, A)/\mathrm{im}\,(B|_{\mathrm{coker}\, A})\\ &\cong \mathrm{coker}\, A/\mathrm{im}(B|_{\mathrm{coker}\, A}) \cong \mathrm{coker}_{\mathrm{coker}\, A}\, B.\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the lemma is finished. □

4 Jacobian group for the generalized Petersen graph $GP(n,k)$

Theorem 4.1 *Let $L = L(GP(n,k))$ be the Laplacian of the generalized Petersen graph $GP(n,k)$. Then*

$$coker\, L \cong coker(\mathcal{A}^n - I),$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is $2(k+1)\times 2(k+1)$ companion matrix for the Laurent polynomial

$$(3 - z^{-1} - z)(3 - z^{-k} - z^k) - 1.$$

Proof. Let L be the Laplacian matrix of the graph $GP(n,k)$. Then, as it was mentioned above, L is a $2n\times 2n$ matrix of the form

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 3I_n - C_n^k & -I_n \\ -I_n & 3I_n - C_n^1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $C_n^k = circ(\underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{k times}}, 1, 0, \ldots, 0, 1, \underbrace{0,\ldots,0}_{\text{k-1 times}})$.

Consider L as a $\mathbb{Z}-$linear operator $L : \mathbb{Z}^{2n} \to \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$. In this case, coker$(L)$ is the abelian group generated by elements $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n$ satisfying the linear system of equations $L(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n)^t = 0$. By the property mentioned in Section 2, the Jacobian of the graph $GP(n,k)$ is isomorphic to the finite part of cokernel of the operator L. So, it suffices to show that cokernels of operators $L : \mathbb{Z}^{2n} \to \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ and $\mathcal{A}^n - I_{2s_k} : \mathbb{Z}^{2s_k} \to \mathbb{Z}^{2s_k}$ are isomorphic. To study the structure of coker(L) we consider two bi-infinite sequences of elements $(x_j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} = (\ldots, x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots)$ and $(y_j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} = (\ldots, y_{-1}, y_0, y_1, y_2, \ldots)$. By circularity of the $n\times n$ blocks of matrix L we have the following representation for cokernel of L :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{coker}(L) &= \langle x_i, y_i, i\in\mathbb{Z} | 3x_j - x_{j-k} - x_{j+k} - y_j = 0,\\ &\quad 3y_j - y_{j-1} - y_{j+1} - x_j = 0, x_{j+n} = x_j, y_{j+n} = y_j, j\in\mathbb{Z}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Consider the operator $L(T) : R^{\mathbb{Z}} \to R^{\mathbb{Z}}$ defined by $L(T) = (3 - T - T^{-1})(3 - T^k - T^{-k}) - 1$. Then by making use of the operator notation we can rewrite the cokernel of L in the following way

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{coker}(L) &= \langle x, y | (3 - T^k - T^{-k})x = y, (3 - T - T^{-1})y = x, T^n x = x, T^n y = y\rangle\\ &= \langle x | (3 - T - T^{-1})(3 - T^k - T^{-k})x = x, T^n x = x\rangle\\ &= \langle x | ((3 - T - T^{-1})(3 - T^k - T^{-k}) - 1)x = 0, (T^n - 1)x = 0\rangle\\ &= \langle x | L(T)x = 0, (T^n - 1)x = 0\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof, we apply Lemma 3.1 to the operators $A = L(T)$ and $B = Q(T) = T^n - 1$.

By definition $\operatorname{coker} A$ is generated by the elements $x_j = e_j + \operatorname{im} A, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the Laurent polynomial $P(z) = (3 - z - z^{-1})(3 - z^k - z^{-k}) - 1$ is bimonic polynomial it can be represented in the form $P(z) = z^{-k-1} + a_1 z^{-k} + \ldots + a_{2k+1} z^k + z^{k+1}$, where $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{2k+1}$ are integers. Then the companion matrix $\mathcal{A}$ is $\left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & I_{2k+1} \\ \hline -1, -a_1, \ldots, -a_{2k+1} & \end{array}\right)$. It is easy to see that $\det \mathcal{A} = (-1)^{2k-1}$ and its inverse $\mathcal{A}^{-1}$ is also integer matrix.

For convenience we set $s = 2k+2$ to be the size of matrix $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}^s$ be an abelian group generated by the elements $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s$. Note that for any integer $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(x_{j+1}, x_{j+2}, \ldots, x_{j+s})^t = \mathcal{A}^j (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s)^t$, which implies that each element x_j, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be uniquely expressed as an integer linear combination of the elements $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s$.

Our present aim is to show that $\operatorname{coker} A \cong \mathbb{Z}^s$. Then we describe the action of the endomorphism $B|_{\operatorname{coker} A}$ on the $\operatorname{coker} A$. Setting $x = (x_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ we can write $\operatorname{coker} A = \langle x | Ax = 0 \rangle$. So we have the following representation of $\operatorname{coker} A$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\operatorname{coker} A = \langle x | Ax = 0 \rangle = \\
&= \langle x_j, j \in \mathbb{Z} | x_\ell + a_1 x_{\ell+1} + \ldots + a_{s-1} x_{\ell+s-1} + x_{\ell+s} = 0, \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle \\
&= \langle x_j, j \in \mathbb{Z} | (x_{\ell+1}, x_{\ell+2}, \ldots, x_{\ell+s})^t = \mathcal{A}(x_\ell, x_{\ell+1}, \ldots, x_{\ell+s-1})^t, \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle \\
&= \langle x_j, j \in \mathbb{Z} | (x_{\ell+1}, x_{\ell+2}, \ldots, x_{\ell+s})^t = \mathcal{A}^\ell (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s)^t, \ell \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle \\
&= \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s | \emptyset \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^s.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the operators $A = L(T)$ and T commute, the action $T|_{\operatorname{coker} A} : x_j \to x_{j+1}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ on the $\operatorname{coker} A$ is well defined. Now we describe the action of $T|_{\operatorname{coker} A}$ on the set of generators $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s$. For any $i = 1, \ldots, s-1$, we have $T|_{\operatorname{coker}}(x_i) = x_{i+1}$ and $T|_{\operatorname{coker} A}(x_s) = x_{s+1} = -x_1 - a_1 x_2 - \ldots - a_{s-2} x_{s-1} - a_{s-1} x_s$. Hence, the action of $T|_{\operatorname{coker} A}$ on the $\operatorname{coker} A$ is given by the matrix $\mathcal{A}$. Considering $\mathcal{A}$ as an endomorphism of the $\operatorname{coker} A$, we can write $T|_{\operatorname{coker} A} = \mathcal{A}$. Finally, $B|_{\operatorname{coker} A} = Q(T|_{\operatorname{coker} A}) = Q(\mathcal{A})$. Applying Lemma 3.1 we finish the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 4.2 *Sandpile group $Jac(GP(n,k))$ of the generalized Petersen graph $GP(n,k)$ is isomorphic to the torsion subgroup of coker$(\mathcal{A}^n - I)$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is the companion matrix for the Laurent polynomial $(3 - z^{-1} - z)(3 - z^{-k} - z^k) - 1$.*

The Corollary 4.2 gives a simple way to count Jacobian group $\operatorname{Jac}(GP(n,k))$ for small values of k and sufficiently large numbers n. The numerical results are presented in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

5 Counting the number of spanning trees for the generalized Petersen graph $GP(n,k)$

Theorem 5.1 *The number of spanning trees of the generalized Petersen graph $GP(n,k)$ is given by the formula*

$$\tau(GP(n,k)) = (-1)^{(n-1)(k-1)} n \prod_{s=1}^{k} \frac{T_n(w_s) - 1}{w_s - 1},$$

where $w_s, s = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ are roots of the order k algebraic equation $2T_k(w) - \frac{T_k(w)-1}{w-1} - 3 = 0$, and $T_k(w)$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind.

Proof. By the celebrated Kirchhoff theorem, the number of spanning trees $\tau_k(n)$ is equal to the product of nonzero eigenvalues of the Laplacian of a graph $GP(n,k)$ divided by the number of its vertices $2n$. To investigate the spectrum of Laplacian matrix we note that matrix $C_n^k = T^{-k} + T^k$, where $T = circ(0,1,\dots,0)$ is the $n \times n$ shift operator. The latter equality easily follows from the identity $T^n = I_n$. Hence,

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 3I_n - T^{-k} - T^k & -I_n \\ -I_n & 3I_n - T^{-1} - T \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of circulant matrix T are ε_n^j, where $\varepsilon_n = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$. Since all eigenvalues of T are distinct, the matrix T is conjugate to the diagonal matrix $\mathbb{T} = diag(1, \varepsilon_n, \dots, \varepsilon_n^{n-1})$, where diagonal entries of $diag(1, \varepsilon_n, \dots, \varepsilon_n^{n-1})$ are $1, \varepsilon_n, \dots, \varepsilon_n^{n-1}$. To find spectrum of L, without loss of generality, one can assume that $T = \mathbb{T}$. Then the $n \times n$ blocks of L are diagonal matrices. This essentially simplifies the problem of finding eigenvalues of L. Indeed, let λ be an eigenvalue of L and $(x,y) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be the respective eigenvector. Then we have the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} (3I_n - T^{-k} - T^k)x - y &= \lambda x \\ -x + (3I_n - T^{-1} - T)y &= \lambda y \end{cases}.$$

From here we conclude that $y = (3I_n - T^{-k} - T^k)x - \lambda x = (3 - \lambda - T^{-k} - T^k) - 1)x$. Substituting y in the second equation, we have $((3 - \lambda - T^{-1} - T)(3 - \lambda - T^{-k} - T^k) - 1)x = 0$.

Recall the matrices under consideration are diagonal and the $(j+1, j+1)$-th entry of T is equal to ε_n^j. Therefore, we have $((3 - \lambda - \varepsilon_n^{-j} - \varepsilon_n^j)(3 - \lambda - \varepsilon_n^{-jk} - \varepsilon_n^{jk}) - 1)x_{j+1} = 0$ and $y_{j+1} = (3 - \lambda - \varepsilon_n^{-jk} - \varepsilon_n^{jk})x_{j+1}$.

So, for any $j = 0, \dots, n-1$ the matrix L has two eigenvalues, say $\lambda_{1,j}$ and $\lambda_{2,j}$ satisfying the quadratic equation $(3 - \lambda - \varepsilon_n^{-j} - \varepsilon_n^j)(3 - \lambda - \varepsilon_n^{-jk} - \varepsilon_n^{jk}) - 1 = 0$. The corresponding eigenvectors are (x,y), where $x = \mathbf{e}_{j+1} = (0, \dots, \underbrace{1}_{(j+1)-th}, \dots, 0)$ and $y = (3 - \lambda - T^{-k} - T^k)\mathbf{e}_{j+1}$. In particular, if $j = 0$ for $\lambda_{1,0}, \lambda_{2,0}$ we have $(1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda) - 1 = \lambda(\lambda - 2) = 0$. That is, $\lambda_{1,0} = 0$ and $\lambda_{2,0} = 2$. Since $\lambda_{1,j}$ and $\lambda_{2,j}$ are roots of the same quadratic equation, we obtain $\lambda_{1,j}\lambda_{2,j} = P(\varepsilon_n^j)$, where $P(z) = (3 - z^{-1} - z)(3 - z^{-k} - z^k) - 1$.

Now we have

$$\tau_k(n) = \frac{1}{2n}\lambda_{2,0}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_{1,j}\lambda_{2,j} = \frac{1}{n}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\lambda_{1,j}\lambda_{2,j} = \frac{1}{n}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}P(\varepsilon_n^j).$$

Lemma 5.2 *The following identity holds*

$$(3 - z^{-1} - z)(3 - z^{-k} - z^k) - 1 = 2(w-1)(2T_k(w) - \frac{T_k(w) - 1}{w - 1} - 3),$$

where $T_k(w)$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind and $w = \frac{1}{2}(z^{-1} + z)$.

Proof. Let us substitute $z = e^{i\varphi}$. It is easy to see that $w = \frac{1}{2}(z^{-1} + z) = \cos\varphi$, so we have $T_k(w) = \cos(k \arccos w) = \cos(k\varphi)$. Then the statement of the lemma is equivalent to the following elementary identity

$$(3 - 2\cos\varphi)(3 - 2\cos(k\varphi)) - 1 = 2(\cos\varphi - 1)(2\cos(k\varphi) - \frac{\cos(k\varphi) - 1}{\cos\varphi - 1} - 3)$$

□

By Lemma 5.2, $P(z) = 2(w-1)h_k(w)$, where $w = \frac{1}{2}(z+z^{-1})$ and $h_k(w) = 2T_k(w) - (T_k(w)-1)/(w-1)-3$ is the polynomial of degree k. Note that $2(w-1) = \frac{(z-1)^2}{z}$. Since $h_k(1) = 2T_k(1)-T_k'(1)-3 = -1-k^2 \neq 0$, the Laurent polynomial $P(z)$ has the root $z=1$ with multiplicity two. Hence, the roots of $P(z)$ are $1, 1, z_1, 1/z_1, \ldots, z_k, 1/z_k$, where for all $s = 1, \ldots, k$, $z_s \neq 1$ and $w_s = \frac{1}{2}(z_s + z_s^{-1})$ is a root of equation $h_k(w) = 0$. We set $H(z) = \prod\limits_{s=1}^{k}(z-z_s)(z-z_s^{-1})$. Then $P(z) = \frac{1}{z^{k+1}}(z-1)^2 H(z)$.

Lemma 5.3 *Let $H(z) = \prod\limits_{s=1}^{k}(z-z_s)(z-z_s^{-1})$ and $H(1) \neq 0$. Then*

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} H(\varepsilon_n^j) = \prod_{s=1}^{k} \frac{T_n(w_s)-1}{w_s-1},$$

where $w_s = \frac{1}{2}(z_s + z_s^{-1})$, $s = 1, \ldots, k$ and $T_n(x)$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind.

Proof. It is easy to check that $\prod\limits_{j=1}^{n-1}(z-\varepsilon_n^j) = \frac{z^n-1}{z-1}$ if $z \neq 1$. Also we note that $\frac{1}{2}(z^n + z^{-n}) = T_n(\frac{1}{2}(z+z^{-1}))$. By the substitution $z = e^{i\varphi}$ the latter follows from the evident identity $\cos(n\varphi) = T_n(\cos\varphi)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} H(\varepsilon_n^j) &= \prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\prod_{s=1}^{k}(\varepsilon_n^j - z_s)(\varepsilon_n^j - z_s^{-1}) \\
&= \prod_{s=1}^{k}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}(z_s - \varepsilon_n^j)(z_s^{-1} - \varepsilon_n^j) \\
&= \prod_{s=1}^{k} \frac{z_s^n - 1}{z_s - 1}\frac{z_s^{-n}-1}{z_s^{-1}-1} = \prod_{s=1}^{k}\frac{T_n(w_s)-1}{w_s-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that $\prod\limits_{j=1}^{n-1}(1-\varepsilon_n^j) = \lim\limits_{z\to 1}\prod\limits_{j=1}^{n-1}(z-\varepsilon_n^j) = \lim\limits_{z\to 1}\frac{z^n-1}{z-1} = n$ and $\prod\limits_{j=1}^{n-1}\varepsilon_n^j = (-1)^{n-1}$. As a result, taking into account Lemma 5.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_k(n) &= \frac{1}{n}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} P(\varepsilon_n^j) = \frac{1}{n}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}\frac{(\varepsilon_n^j-1)^2}{(\varepsilon_n^j)^{k+1}}H(\varepsilon_n^j) = \frac{(-1)^{(n-1)(k+1)}n^2}{n}\prod_{j=1}^{n-1}H(\varepsilon_n^j) \\
&= (-1)^{(n-1)(k-1)} n \prod_{s=1}^{k}\frac{T_n(w_s)-1}{w_s-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5.4 *$\tau(GP(n,k)) = n\left|\prod_{s=1}^{k} U_{n-1}(\sqrt{\frac{1+w_s}{2}})\right|^2$, where $w_s, s = 1,2,\ldots,k$ are the same as in Theorem 5.1 and $U_{n-1}(w)$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind.*

Proof. Follows from the identity $\frac{T_n(w)-1}{w-1} = U_{n-1}^2(\sqrt{\frac{1+w}{2}})$. □

6 Applications and Examples

6.1 Prism graph $GP(n,1)$.

As a first consequence of theorem 5.1 we have the following formula for number of spanning trees of the n-prism graph $GP(n,1)$:

$$\tau_1(n) = n(T_n(2) - 1).$$

This formula is well known and was independently obtained by many authors. For example, by J. Sedláček, J.W. Moon, N. Biggs and others ([5]).

6.2 Graph $GP(n,2)$.

Theorem 6.1 *The number $\tau_2(n)$ of the spanning trees for the generalized Petersen graph $GP(n,2)$ is equal to $(-1)^n \frac{1}{20} n(\alpha^2 - 29\beta^2)$, where the integers α and β are given by the equality: $T_n(\frac{1+\sqrt{29}}{4}) - 1 = \frac{\alpha+\beta\sqrt{29}}{4}$.*

Moreover, $\tau_2(n) = na(n)^2$, where the integer sequence $a(n)$ satisfies the following recursive relation

$$\begin{aligned} a(n+4) &= a(n+3) + 3a(n+2) - a(n+1) - a(n), \\ a(0) &= 0, a(1) = 1, a(2) = 1, a(3) = 5. \end{aligned}$$

Note $a(n)$ is A192422 sequence in the On - Line Encyclopaedia of Integer Sequences.

Proof. Note that $2T_2(w) - \frac{T_2(w)-1}{w-1} - 3 = 4w^2 - 2w - 7$, and hence two roots of the equation $2T_2(w) - \frac{T_2(w)-1}{w-1} - 3 = 0$ are $w_1 = \frac{1+\sqrt{29}}{4}$ and $w_2 = \frac{1-\sqrt{29}}{4}$. By Theorem 5.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2(n) &= (-1)^{n-1} n \prod_{s=1}^{2} \frac{T_n(w_s) - 1}{w_s - 1} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1} n(\alpha + \beta\sqrt{29})(\alpha - \beta\sqrt{29})}{16(w_1 - 1)(w_2 - 1)} = \frac{(-1)^n n(\alpha^2 - 29\beta^2)}{20}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the second statement, by Corollary 5.4, we have $a(n) = |p(n)|$, where $p(n) = U_{n-1}(\theta_1)U_{n-1}(\theta_2), \theta_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1+w_1}{2}}$ and $\theta_2 = \sqrt{\frac{1+w_2}{2}}$.

Recall that Chebyshev polynomial $U_n(\theta)$ satisfies the recursive relation $U_{n+1}(\theta) - 2\theta U_n(\theta) + U_{n-1}(\theta) = 0$ with initial data $U_0(\theta) = 1$ and $U_1(\theta) = 2\theta$. To find the recursive relation for the sequence $p(n)$, we will use the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2 *Let $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ are polynomials without multiple roots and let $Tu(n) = u(n+1)$ be the shift operator. Suppose the sequences $u(n)$ and $v(n)$ satisfy the recursive relations $P(T)u(n) = 0$ and $Q(T)v(n) = 0$ respectively. Then the sequence $p(n) = u(n)v(n)$ satisfies the recursive relations $R(T)p(n) = 0$, where $R(z)$ is the resultant of polynomials $P(\xi)$ and $\xi^{\deg \mathrm{Q}} Q(\frac{z}{\xi})$ with respect to ξ and $\deg \mathrm{Q}$ is degree of $Q(z)$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_s$ and $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_t$ be distinct roots of polynomials $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ respectively. Then each solution $u(n)$ of the recursive equation $P(T)u(n) = 0$ is a linear combination of the functions $\lambda_1^n, \lambda_2^n, \ldots, \lambda_s^n$, while solution $v(n)$ of the recursive equation $Q(T)v(n) = 0$ is a linear combination of $\mu_1^n, \mu_2^n, \ldots, \mu_t^n$. Hence, their product $p(n)$ is a linear combination of the functions $\lambda_j^n \mu_k^n$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, s$, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, t$. By definition of resultant, we have $R(\lambda_j \mu_k) = 0$ for all j, k and the proof of the lemma follows. □

Now we apply Lemma 6.2 to the polynomials $P(z) = z^2 - 2\theta_1 z + 1$ and $Q(z) = z^2 - 2\theta_2 z + 1$. Now the resultant of polynomials $P(\xi)$ and $\xi^2 Q(\frac{z}{\xi})$ is

$$\left(\theta_1 + \sqrt{\theta_1^2 - 1} - (\theta_2 + \sqrt{\theta_2^2 - 1})z\right)\left(\theta_1 + \sqrt{\theta_1^2 - 1} - (\theta_2 - \sqrt{\theta_2^2 - 1})z\right) \times$$
$$\left(\theta_1 - \sqrt{\theta_1^2 - 1} - (\theta_2 + \sqrt{\theta_2^2 - 1})z\right)\left(\theta_1 - \sqrt{\theta_1^2 - 1} - (\theta_2 - \sqrt{\theta_2^2 - 1})z\right).$$

If we expand the equation, we get the equation $z^4 - iz^3 + 3z^2 - iz + 1$. Hence we have the following recursive relation for $p(n) = U_{n-1}(\theta_1)U_{n-1}(\theta_2)$:

$$p(n) - i\,p(n+1) + 3p(n+2) - i\,p(n+3) + p(n+4) = 0.$$

Observing that $a(n) = |p(n)| = (-i)^{n-1} p(n)$ and the initial values $a(0) = 0, a(1) = 1, a(2) = 1, a(3) = 5$, we get the result. □

6.3 Graph $GP(n, 3)$.

Theorem 6.3 *The number $\tau_3(n)$ of the spanning trees for the generalized Petersen graph $GP(n, 3)$ is given by the formula*

$$\tau_3(n) = n \prod_{s=1}^{3} \frac{T_n(w_s) - 1}{w_s - 1},$$

and w_1, w_2, w_3 are roots of the equation $4w^3 - 2w^2 - 5w - 2 = 0$.

Moreover, $\tau_3(2n) = 12n\,a(n)^2$ and $\tau_3(2n+1) = (2n+1)b(n)^2$, where the integer sequences $a(n)$ and $b(n)$ satisfy the recursive relation

$$\begin{aligned} u(n) - 4u(n+1) - u(n+2) - 24u(n+3) + 65u(n+4) \\ - 24u(n+5) - u(n+6) - 4u(n+7) + u(n+8) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

with the following initial data

$$\begin{aligned} &a(0) = 0, a(1) = 1, a(2) = 4, a(3) = 9, a(4) = 72, \\ &a(5) = 320, u(6) = 1332, a(7) = 6889 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$b(0) = 1, b(1) = 1, b(2) = 20, b(3) = 83, b(4) = 289,$$
$$b(5) = 1693, b(6) = 7775, b(7) = 34820.$$

Proof. The first statement of the theorem directly follows from Theorem 5.1. To prove the second, by Corollary 5.4, we have $\tau_3(n) = n|c(n)|^2$, where $c(n) = \prod_{s=1}^{3} U_{n-1}(\theta_s)$ and $\theta_s = \sqrt{\frac{1+w_s}{2}}, s = 1, 2, 3$. Then the sequence $u(n) = U_{n-1}(\theta_s)$ satisfies the following recursive relation $P_s(T)u(n) = 0$, where $P_s(z) = z^2 - 2\theta_s z + 1$. Suppose that λ, μ, ν are roots of the equations $P_1(\lambda) = 0, P_2(\mu) = 0, P_3(\nu) = 0$. By applying Lemma 6.2 twice, we obtain that $\eta = \lambda\,\mu\,\nu$ is a root of the equation

$$1 + \eta^2 + 11\eta^4 + \eta^6 + \eta^8 = \sqrt{6}\eta(1 + 2\eta^2 + 2\eta^4 + \eta^6).$$

Denote by $\eta_1, \eta_2, \ldots, \eta_8$ distinct roots of the previous equation. Then the sequence $c(n) = U_{n-1}(\theta_1)U_{n-1}(\theta_2)U_{n-1}(\theta_3)$ can be written in the form $c(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{8} c_j \eta_j^n$, where $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_8$ are suitable constants. Let $\zeta_j = \eta_j^2$. Now $c(2n) = \sum_{j=1}^{8} c_j \zeta_j^n$ and $c(2n+1) = \sum_{j=1}^{8} (c_j \eta_j)\zeta_j^n$. Since

$$(1 + \eta^2 + 11\eta^4 + \eta^6 + \eta^8)^2 = 6\eta^2(1 + 2\eta^2 + 2\eta^4 + \eta^6)^2,$$

all $\zeta_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, 8$ are the roots of the equation

$$(1 + \zeta + 11\zeta^2 + \zeta^3 + \zeta^4)^2 - 6\zeta(1 + 2\zeta + 2\zeta^2 + \zeta^3)^2 = 0.$$

Hence,

$$1 - 4\zeta - \zeta^2 - 24\zeta^3 + 65\zeta^4 - 24\zeta^5 - \zeta^6 - 4\zeta^7 + \zeta^8 = 0$$

and both sequences $c(2n)$ and $c(2n+1)$ are solution of the difference equation

$$\begin{aligned} u(n) - 4u(n+1) - u(n+2) - 24u(n+3) + 65u(n+4) \\ - 24u(n+5) - u(n+6) - 4u(n+7) + u(n+8) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We use the formulas $a(n) = c(2n)/\sqrt{6}$ and $b(n) = c(2n+1)$ to calculate the initial elements of sequences $a(n)$ and $b(n)$ directly. □

6.4 Graph $GP(n, 4)$.

Theorem 6.4 *The number $\tau_4(n)$ of the spanning trees for the generalized Petersen graph $GP(n, 4)$ is given by the formula*

$$\tau_4(n) = (-1)^{n-1} n \prod_{s=1}^{4} \frac{T_n(w_s) - 1}{w_s - 1},$$

and w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 are roots of the equation $16w^4 - 8w^3 - 24w^2 - 1 = 0$.

Moreover, $\tau_4(n) = n\,a(n)^2$, where the integer sequences $a(n)$ satisfies the recursive relation $P(T)a(n) = 0$, where

$$P(T) = T^{16} - T^{15} - 2T^{13} - 16T^{12} + 10T^{11} - 2T^{10} + 16T^9$$
$$+ 50T^8 - 16T^7 - 2T^6 - 10T^5 - 16T^4 + 2T^3 + T + 1$$

and $Ta(n) = a(n+1)$ *is the shift operator.*

The initial data of $a(n)$ *for* n *equal to*

$$-7, -6, -5, -4, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$$

are, respectively,

$$-83, 35, -19, 1, -5, 1, -1, 0, 1, 1, 5, 1, 19, 35, 83, 73.$$

Proof. The first statement of the theorem directly follows from Theorem 5.1. Applying Lemma 6.2 several times and using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 6.1 we conclude that the sequence $a(n) = |p(n)| = (-i)^{n-1}p(n)$, where $p(n) = \prod_{s=1}^{4} U_{n-1}(\sqrt{\frac{1+x_s}{4}})$ satisfies the recursive relation $P(T)a(n) = 0$. □

7 Final Remarks and Tables

Theorem 4.1 is the first step to understand the structure of the Jacobian for $GP(n,k)$. Also, it gives a simple way for numerical calculations of $\mathrm{Jac}(GP(n,k))$ for small values of n and k. See Tables $1, 2, 3$ below. Theorems 6.1, 6.3 and 6.4 contain very convenient formulas for counting the number of spanning trees. The corresponding numerical results are given in Tables $1, 2$ and 3.

Table 1: Graph $GP(n,2)$

n	$\mathrm{Jac}(GP(n,2))$	$\tau_2(n) = \lvert\mathrm{Jac}(GP(n,2))\rvert$
3	$\mathbb{Z}_5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{15}$	75
4	$\mathbb{Z}_7 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{28}$	196
5	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10}$	2000
6	$\mathbb{Z}_{35} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{210}$	7350
7	$\mathbb{Z}_{83} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{581}$	48223
8	$\mathbb{Z}_{161} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{1288}$	207368
9	$\mathbb{Z}_{355} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3195}$	1134225
10	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{12} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{60} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{60} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{60}$	5184000
11	$\mathbb{Z}_{1541} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{16951}$	26121491
12	$\mathbb{Z}_7 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_7 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{1365} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{1820}$	121730700
13	$\mathbb{Z}_{6733} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{87529}$	583332757
14	$\mathbb{Z}_{14027} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{196378}$	2754594206
15	$\mathbb{Z}_5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2950} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{8850}$	13053750000
16	$\mathbb{Z}_{61663} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{986608}$	60837209104
17	$\mathbb{Z}_{129403} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2199851}$	284667318953
18	$\mathbb{Z}_{270865} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4875570}$	1320621268050
19	$\mathbb{Z}_{567911} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10790309}$	6127935174499
20	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{24} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{120} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{49560} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{49560}$	28295350272000

Table 2: Graph $GP(n,3)$

n	$\mathrm{Jac}(GP(n,3))$	$\tau_3(n) = \lvert\mathrm{Jac}(GP(n,3))\rvert$
4	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{24}$	384
5	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10}$	2000
6	$\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{108}$	2916
7	$\mathbb{Z}_{83} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{581}$	48223
8	$\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{12} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{48} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{48}$	248832
9	$\mathbb{Z}_{289} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2601}$	751689
10	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{40} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{40} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{120}$	6144000
11	$\mathbb{Z}_{1693} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{18623}$	31528739
12	$\mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2664} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{7992}$	127744128
13	$\mathbb{Z}_5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{1555} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{20215}$	785858125
14	$\mathbb{Z}_{83} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{83} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{83} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{6972}$	3986498964
15	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{52230} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{17410}$	18186486000
16	$\mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{24} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{21408} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{21408}$	98993332224
17	$\mathbb{Z}_{170917} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2905589}$	496614555113
18	$\mathbb{Z}_9 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{148257} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{1779084}$	2373854909292
19	$\mathbb{Z}_{802141} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{15240679}$	12225173493739
20	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{16} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{80} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{11120} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{33360}$	60778610688000

Table 3: Graph $GP(n,4)$

n	$\mathrm{Jac}(GP(n,4))$	$\tau_4(n) = \lvert\mathrm{Jac}(GP(n,4))\rvert$
5	$\mathbb{Z}_{19} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{95}$	1805
6	$\mathbb{Z}_{35} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{210}$	7350
7	$\mathbb{Z}_{83} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{581}$	48223
8	$\mathbb{Z}_{73} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{584}$	42632
9	$\mathbb{Z}_{355} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{3195}$	1134225
10	$\mathbb{Z}_{779} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{7790}$	6068410
11	$\mathbb{Z}_{1693} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{18623}$	31528739
12	$\mathbb{Z}_{2555} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{30660}$	78336300
13	$\mathbb{Z}_5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{1555} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{20215}$	785858125
14	$\mathbb{Z}_{17513} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{245182}$	4293872366
15	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{950} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2850}$	21660000000
16	$\mathbb{Z}_{71321} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{1141136}$	81386960656
17	$\mathbb{Z}_{103} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{1751} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{1751} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{1751}$	552962478353
18	$\mathbb{Z}_{405055} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{7290990}$	2953251954450
19	$\mathbb{Z}_{37} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{37} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{23939} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{454841}$	14906272578931
20	$\mathbb{Z}_{1823639} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{36472780}$	66513184046420

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author was supported by the 2015 Yeungnam University Research Grant. The second and the third authors were supported by the Grant of the Russian Federation Govement at Siberian Federal University (grant no. 14.Y26.31.0006), by the Presidium of the Russian Academy of Sciences (project no. 0314-2015-0011), and by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (projects no. 15-01-07906 and 16-31-00138).

References

[1] Cori R., Rossin D., On the sandpile group of dual graphs, European J. Combin. 21(4) (2000) 447–459.

[2] Baker B., Norine S., Harmonic morphisms and hyperelliptic graphs Int. Math. Res. Notes. 15 (2009) 2914–2955.

[3] Biggs N.L., Chip-firing and the critical group of a graph J. Algebraic Combin. 9(1) (1999) 25–45.

[4] Bacher R., de la Harpe P., Nagnibeda T., The lattice of integral flows and the lattice of integral cuts on a finite graph Bull. Soc. Math. France.125 (1997) 167–198.

[5] Boesch F. T., Prodinger H., Spanning tree formulas and Chebyshev polynomials Graphs and Combinatorics. 2(1) (1986) 191–200.

[6] S.C. Chang, L.C. Chen and W.S. Yang, Spanning trees on the Sierpinski gasket J. Stat. Phys. 126 (2007) 649–667.

[7] D. D'Angeli and A. Donno, Weighted spanning trees on some self-similar graphs Electron. J. Comb. 181 (2011) 16–43.

[8] R. Gera and P. Stănică, The spectrum of generalized Petersen graphs Australas. J. Combin. 49 (2011) 39–45.

[9] R. Frucht, J.E. Graver and M.E. Watkins, The groups of the generalized Petersen graphs Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 70 (1971) 211–218.

[10] Lorenzini D., Smith normal form and Laplacians J. Combin. Theory Ser. B. 98(6) (2008) 1271–1300.

[11] Zhang Yuanping, Yong Xuerong, Golin M. J., The number of spanning trees in circulant graphs Discrete. Math. 223(1) (2000) 337–350.

[12] Zhang Yuanping, Xuerong Yong, Golin M. J., Chebyshev polynomials and spanning tree formulas for circulant and related graphs Discrete Math. 298(1) (2005) 334–364.

[13] Chen Xiebin, Qiuying Lin, Fuji Zhang, The number of spanning trees in odd valent circulant graphs Discrete Math. 282(1) (2004) 69–79.

[14] S.D. Nikolopoulos and C. Papadopoulos, The number of spanning trees in K_n-complements of quasi-threshold graphs Graph Combinator, 20 (2004) 383–397.

[15] Dhar D., Ruelle P., Sen S., Verma D.-N., Algebraic aspects of abelian sandpile models J. Phys. A. 28 (1995) 805–831.

[16] R. Shrock and F.Y. Wu, Spanning trees on graphs and lattices in d-dimensions J. Phys. A 33 (2000) 3881–3902.

[17] W. Sun, S. Wang and J. Zhang, Counting spanning trees in prism and anti-prism graphs J. Appl. Anal. Comput. 6 (2016) 65–75.

[18] Kotani M., Sunada T., Jacobian tori associated with a finite graph and its abelian covering graphs Adv. Appl. Math. 24 (2000) 89–110.

[19] Yaoping Hou, Chingwah Woo, Pingge Chen, On the sandpile group of the square cycle Linear Algebra Appl. 418 (2006) 457–467.

[20] Chen, Pingge and Hou, Yaoping, On the critical group of the Mobius ladder graph Austral. J. Combin. 36 (2006) 133–142.

[21] Mednykh I. A., Zindinova M. A., On the structure of picard group for moebius ladder Sib. Electron. Math. Rep. 8 (2011) 54–61.

[22] Mednykh A. D., Mednykh I. A. On the structure of the Jacobian group for circulant graphs Doklady Mathematics. 94(1) (2016) 445–449.

[23] P.J. Davis, Circulant Matrices, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 1994.